RESOLUTION NO. 2021- 73

A RESOLUTION BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING | THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR, OR DESIGNEE, TO APPLY FOR ST. JOHNS RIVER **COST-SHARE** WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT PROGRAM FUNDING FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PORPOISE POINT TO COMPLETE IMPROVEMENTS, AND ALL DRAINAGE NECESSARY APPLICATION PAPERWORK AND CERTIFICATIONS.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of St. Johns County, Florida ("Board") has previously approved funding for the design and construction of drainage improvements in the Porpoise Point area; and

WHEREAS, the design of the improvements has been completed; and

WHEREAS, the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) is accepting applications for projects for funding of construction improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Board wishes to authorize the County Administrator, or designee, to apply for funding through the SJRWMD Cost-Share Program and provide for partial funding of the proposed improvements; as well as to authorize the completion of all necessary application paperwork, and certifications; and

WHEREAS, the Project is in the best interest of the County for the health, safety and welfare of its citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, as follows:

- Section 1. The above Recitals are incorporated by reference into the body of this Resolution, and such Recitals are adopted as finds of fact.
- Section 2. The Board of County Commissioners hereby authorizes the County Administrator, or designee, to make application to the St. Johns River Water Management District Cost-Share Program for funding for the construction of the proposed Porpoise Point Drainage Improvements, and to complete all necessary application paperwork and certifications.
- Section 3. To the extent that there are typographical and/or administrative errors that do not change the tone, tenor, or concept of this Resolution, then this Resolution may be revised without subsequent approval by the Board of County Commissioners.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of County Commissioners of St. Johns County, Florida, this /Let day of February, 2021.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA

By: Drew

Jeremiah R. Bløcker, Chair

ATTEST: Brandon J. Patty, Clerk of the Circuit Court & Comptroller

By: Yam Hatternam
Deputy Clerk

RENDITION DATE 2/18/2

EO WAR

DRAFT



Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Districtwide Cost-Share (FY 2021-2022 DWCS) Application

This form is designed to assist in submitting a complete application for consideration by the St. Johns River Water Management District (District) for Districtwide Cost-Share Program. Detailed guidance on completing this application can be found in the Funding Guidance Document. All sections of the form must be completed to be considered a complete application. Any information listed on the checklist that is not included in the application will result in an automatic deduction of 5 points in the evaluation. If additional space is needed to fully complete a section, please attach separately. County governments, municipalities, water supply authorities, and other interested public and private entities as determined eligible may apply.

A. B	ASIC INFORMA	ATION		• • •			. ,	· · · · · .
A-1	NAME OF EN	TITY / ORGANIZA	ATION:			ı		
	St. Johns Count	y Board of Commiss	sioners			1		
		ME (please see b						
	Porpoise Point I	Drainage Improveme	ents			; 1 1		
					Ų			
A-2	Contact infor	mation of project	t manager or co	ntac	t person:			
	(The District w	ill send correspon	dence concernin	g thi	s application	ONLY to this pers	on)	
	Name/title: Jo	hn Burnham, Proje	ct Manager					
	Email address	ibumham@sjcfl.us	}					
	Mailing addres	s: 2750 Industry Ce	enter Road, St. Aug	gustir	ne, FL 32084	 		
	Office Phone:	(904)209-0122		Mo	bile Phone:	(904)484-8826		
A-3		mation of person nanager or conta		to er	nter into a co	ontractual agreer	nent	, if other
	If same as A-2	above, do not co	mplete this section	on.		<u> </u>		
	Name/title: Du	ane Kent, County E	ingineer			<u> </u>		
	Email address	: rkent@sjcfl.us					•	
	Mailing addres	s: 2750 Industry Ce	enter Road, St. Aug	gustir	ne, FL 32084		-	
	Office Phone:	(904)209-0113		Мо	bile Phone: (904)417-5999		• •
A-4	What County	is this project lo	cated?	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)		
	☐ Alachua	☐ Baker	☐ Bradford		Brevard	Clay		Duval
					•			
	☐ Flagler	☐ Indian River	□ Lake		Marion	□ Nassau		Orange
	•					ļ		
	□ Osceola	□ Putnam	☐ Seminole	5 7	St. Johns	Okeechobee		Volusia
		<u> </u>		=				. 214210
	L., .,,,,,,,		· · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

A-5	What Water Supply Planning Region is this project located (Refer to map at
	https://www.sjrwmd.com/water-supply/planning/)
	☑ North Florida (North Florida Regional Water Supply Partnership/North Florida Water Initiative)
	<u></u>
	□ Central Springs and East Coast
	☐ Central Florida (Central Florida Water Initiative)
A C	
A-6	TMDLs, BMAPs, RAPs, WBIDs, MFLs, and Springs a. Is the project located in an area that has an established Total Maximum Daily Load
	(TMDL) or Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP)? ☐ Yes ☑ No
	If yes, provide the name of the TMDL waterbody, BMAP, and WBID(s). If no, just provide the WBID(s). Refer to
	FDEP's interactive map at https://ca.dep.state.fl.us/mapdirect/?focus=tmdlvi.
	Name of TMDL Waterbody:
	Name of BMAP:
	WBID(s):
	Is the project specifically named in the BMAP identified above? ☐ Yes ☑ No
	If yes, provide the BMAP project number (e.g., VC-3 or LM-4). Be sure that your project name corresponds to
	the BMAP project name. If your project is part of a BMAP project but is not identical, please provide the BMAP
	project name and project number that most closely corresponds to your project and explain the relationship
	between the two in section B-2.
	b. Does the project benefit a water body with an established Minimum Flows & Levels
	(MFL)? Yes No
	Name of MFL Waterbody:
	rante of wire waterbody.
	'
	Prevention/Recovery Strategy Implemented for the MFL Waterbody above?
	☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, provide the name of the Prevention/Recovery Strategy
	c. Does the project benefit a spring? ☐ Yes ☑ No
	☐ Volusia Blue ☐ Silver ☐ Wekiwa/Rock ☐ DeLeon ☐ Gemini ☐ Other:
	'
	Is the project located in a Priority Focus Area (PFA) 🗆 Yes 🗷 No
	Is the project is listed in a Prevention Strategy for the implementation of Minimum Flows and
	Levels? 🗆 Yes 🗹 No
A-7	Is the Applicant a Rural Economic Development Initiative (REDI) Community?
	☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please attach a signed Waiver of Matching Funds Letter
A 0	
A-8	For County or Municipal applicants: Have you adopted the District's model Landscape
	Irrigation Ordinance?
	☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ In Progress



For utilities, do you have a water conservation rate structure or condition of service to address irrigation conservation?			
□Yes □No □ In Progress			
Has the project been submitted under a previous District cost-share program? ☐ Yes ☑ No			
If yes, please provide the date	e(s) and project name(s) of previous su	bmittal(s).	
Is the project located within the coastal building zone as defined in Subsection 161.54(1),			
	If yes, please attach, in Section	i on D. a Sea i evel Impact	
_ ·	- · · ·	· ·	
ROJECT INFORMATION			
PROJECT TYPE Check the pr	rimary core mission and provide evidence in S	Section B-3.	
☐ Water Supply	☐ Water Conservation ☐ Wa	ter Quality	
Flood Protection	C Natural Sustama		
El Flood Flotection	□ Naturai Systems		
a. Short Description: Succinc be implemented? Attach supporting The preferred option is to identify	etly describe the project, e.g. what is being co ng documentation if necessary. y, design,and construct a positive gravity ou	utfall for the drainage system. The	
	address irrigation conservations and conservations are project been submit a yes in No. If yes, please provide the date is the project located within Florida Statutes? Yes in No. Project (SLIP) Study as estable in Yes in No. Project (SLIP) Study as estable in No. PROJECT INFORMATION PROJECT TYPE Check the project in Yes in No. PROJECT TYPE Check the project in Yes	address irrigation conservation? Yes No In Progress	



			1
			1
			I
			į
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
b. Measures of Succ	ess: Describe how you will i	neasure the effectivenes	s of your project.
Lengthy roadway inund	ations have been document	ted in this area for many	years; often the inundation
neovento increas/acces	to demone of homes bested		
breverus ingressiegress	s to dozens of nomes includ	ing emergency and utili	ly restoration services. Reduc
the frequency, intensity	, and duration of such even	ts would be a key meas	urement of success.
		-	1 1
			I
			ı
•			
			i I
			1
		i	I
		,	
		1	
		!	
		i	
*			
		;	
		¦	
			_



	i !	,
	i ! !	,
		,
		•
	1	
	İ	
Describe the Leasting and Scale Jacobs Theorem		
. Describe the location and include a map. The map shifected MFL, TMDL, BMAP, impaired water bodies or or Please see attached map in Section D as requested.	ther affected wetlands or sp	/ Pring
•	Ï	
	1	
•		



e. Coordinates for the project in decimal degrees to 6 places. Use centroid for large area

project area:

Latitude: 29.913558

Longitude: -81,296817

B-3 BENEFITS TO DISTRICT MISSIONS (Scoring Criterion #2)

Describe the benefits to one or more of the District's main missions (Water Supply/Conservation, Water Quality, Flood Protection and/or Natural Systems). Clearly identify the primary and secondary mission benefits. Attach separate pages if necessary. Refer to the Funding Guidance Manual for additional pertinent information that should be included with your application.

Primary benefit:

The primary benefit will be faster recovery time from flooding events; there have been several documented storm events which have negatively impacted the neighborhood and dozens of homes. Ingress/egress to the neighborhood has been severely hampered during both named hurricane events, and other lower magnitude storms. The primary goal of these proposed improvements is to accelerate the removal of stormwater from the neighborhood by constructing a point of legal positive outfall.



	Secondary benefit(s), if applicable:	
	·	
		• •
	,	
	·	
	·	
		\
		·
B-4	If the Project is for Water Resource Development or Alternative	Water Supply
	Development identify the source water (check all that apply):	
	□ Fresh Groundwater	,
	☐ Brackish Groundwater	ľ
	☐ Stormwater	
	☐ Reclaimed Water	
	☐ Surface Water: Identify surface water body:	
:	D. Donaldah Gudana Watana Idan di Kamada ang kanada ang kanada	
	☐ Brackish Surface Water: Identify surface water body:	
		, .
	☐ Other: Identify Source:	
		I



B-5	For Septic-to-Sewer Projects:		
	a. How many residential and/or com	mercial septic tanks are p	roposed to be abandoned?
	Residential:	Commercial:	
	If the tank(s) are commercial or mult	i-family, provide the capad	city (daily flow) of the tank.
	b. Proof of participation.		
	How many letters of commitment Upload copies of all commitment	have you obtained? letters. Percent commitme	ent:
	Do you have a local ordinance, in that requires mandatory hookup a ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In Progress	accordance with Subsect fter written notification of s	ion 381.00655, Florida Statutes, sewerage availability?
	c. Is the project located within a P the ultimate receiving surface w canal that eventually terminates	/ater body, or within a ¼	mile of a drainage ditch or
	d. Provide the name of the wastew	ater treatment plant the	sewer will be connected.
	Is the wastewater treatment plant ☐ Yes ☐ No What type of treated wastewater of		
B-6	District Permit Information:		
	If the applicant has an SJRWMD-issued C the project site, provide the following:	onsumptive Use Permit and o	r an Environmental Resource Permit for
√J			
	Permit Type:	Permit #	Expir. date/Compliant (yes/ no)
	General	156935-2	08/30/2024 Yes

B-7	Project Likelihood of Successful Completion (Scoring Criterion #3)					
	a. Project Readiness: Check all that apply and supply requested dates (month/day/year) and attach a detailed project construction schedule. Include documentation that demonstrates that the construction start date is realistic (e.g. critical milestones, commission approval dates, procurement timeline, etc.).					
	minesiones, comi	Current % Complete	Start Date	Completion Date		
				- Completion Date		
	Planning	100	6/1/2019	7/1/2019		
	Design	90	11/30/2020	2/28/2021		
•	Permitting	90	6/1/2019	5/1/2021		
	Bidding	0	7/1/2021	9/1/2021		
	Construction	,	10/1/2021	12/31/2021		
	Future Phases					
	Other					
	notification in new provide a descrip participation that The St. Johns Co provide relief to t	visietters, etc.). If your project i tion of methods used to ensur can be documented at the tim ounty Board of Commissione	requires participation from one participation in your projete of the application. The participation is the application of the application is the application. The previously committed area. Many meetings have	ed significant funding specifically to e been held with affected citizens over		
B-8				roject construction and has		
	indicated whe	ther any property neede	d is under its ownersh	ip or control.		



C. PROJECT COST INFORMATION

2-1 a. Breakdown of project cost

Attach a table or spreadsheet with detailed project costs for each task or segment of the project. The District will contribute only to the construction costs of the project; however, the table should detail all project costs. Indicate at the end of the table/spreadsheet, a cost effectiveness evaluation as described below.

b. Cost-share request funding table

The District's cost-share (4.) cannot exceed 25% of the total construction cost (1.) for Alternative Water Supply, Water Quality, Flood Protection and Natural Systems projects and 50% for Water Conservation projects. REDI communities that have submitted a waiver, however, can be reimbursed up to 100% of total construction cost. There is a \$3,000,000 maximum cost-share request per project or per entity.

	Year 1	Year 2	Total
1. Total Estimated Construction Cost:	\$360,000	\$0	\$360,000
2. Other Costs (includes capital, land acquisition, planning, design, permitting & bidding costs)			
3. Total Project Costs:	\$360,000	i	
Cost-share amount requested: Cost-share percentage:	\$90,000 25 %		,
5. Estimated Applicant's Annual Operation & Maintenance Costs:	\$500		
6. Estimated Service life of components:	25	ye	ars

c. Funding Sources: Identify the Applicant' funding contribution and all other outside sources of funding including State or Federal appropriations, grant monies, or municipal bonds. Include the status of the specified funding.

Funding Source	Amount	% Match	Funding Status	
BCC - local government	\$270,000	75%	Confirmed	
·				
Total	\$270,000	75%		



N	requesting 100% funding of the construction costs. Describe your in-kind contribution are estimate the monetary value of that contribution.
•	I/A·
	n)
d	. Project partners: Check one below and if multi-jurisdictional include the percent of funding to be
0	contributed by each partner.
1	Z Single entity
1	available, and includes status of agreement). Identify other partners:
	identity office parties.
p	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3.
ii S S	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods thould be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided.
ii S s A n	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods should be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided trach additional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited
ii S s A n	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods should be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided attach additional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP.
pii S s A m	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods thould be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided attach additional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP. The project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP.
pii S s A m	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods thould be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided attach additional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP. Or Water Supply Projects: MGD alternative water supplied
Pil S S A M	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods hould be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided that hadditional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP. Tor Water Supply Projects: MGD alternative water supplied MGD alternative water supplied
Pil S S A M	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Think all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods should be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided stach additional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP. For Water Supply Projects: MGD alternative water supplied MGD conserved
Pil S S A M F	Quantification of Project Benefits: District staff will quantify benefits for Septic to Sewer projects, Flood Protection Projects and projects-benefiting MFL water bodies using the information provided below and in B-2 and B-3. Thow all work and include assumptions for calculation of quantified benefits. Accepted engineering methods should be used to estimate project benefits, and backup information showing any calculations must be provided that additional pages as needed. Note, if your project is specifically listed within a BMAP, provide the credited utrient reduction value associated with the project within the BMAP. Tor Water Supply Projects: MGD alternative water supplied MGD conserved MGD conserved

	T	······································	<u> </u>
	For Natural Systems	s Projects:	
		Acres Wetlands Restored/Enhanced	
		Acres Uplands Restored/Enhanced	!
		Linear feet of shoreline Restored/Enhanced	i
	For projects that be	nefit MFL waterbodies:	:
		_ MGD of water recharged	
		MGD of alternative source to offset withdraw	als.
	For Flood Protection	n Projects:	
		Acres protected from flooding	
	Annual Exceedance p	probability As is: 1/ years	
	After project impleme	ntation: 1/years	i
C-3	Cost Effectiveness (Scoring Criterion #4)	
	Service life of component and 2.875% Federal Wat	culated based on Total Project Cost, Annual Operation ts (entered in section C-1b), Quantification of Project for Resource Planning Discount Rate, Cost Effectiven in can be found at <u>www.sirwmd.com/funding/</u>	Benefits (entered in section C-2).
	Water Supply:	per 1000 galle	ons made available
	Water Conservation:	per 1000 gallo	ons conserved
	Water Quality:	per lb TN rem	oved
		per lb TP rem	oved
	Natural Systems:	per acre wetle	and restored/enhanced
		per acre upla	nd restored/enhanced
		per ft. shoreli	ne restored/enhanced
	Flood Protection:	per acre prote	ected from flooding
	MFL	per 1000 gallo	ons of water recharged
		per 1000 gallo offset withdraw	ons of atternative source to wals
	**District staff will calculate information provided in s	te the benefits for Septic to Sewer, Flood Protection, a sections C-1 and C-2 of the application.	and MFL projects based on the

^{**} To fit text in space available, all line breaks in text entries are replaced with ".".