RESOLUTION NO. 2024. 387

A RESOLUTION BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE TERMS AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR, OR DESIGNEE, TO SUBMIT A BUDGET PLAN TO THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, OFFICE OF COASTAL RESILIENCE AND COASTAL PROTECTION, AS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT A LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING REQUEST TO SUPPORT THE FEMA DUNE ENHANCEMENT PROJECT.

WHEREAS, St. Johns County is currently working with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) on the FEMA Dune Enhancement Project (the "Project"); and,

WHEREAS, St. Johns County will provide local cost share funding from the Category V Tourist Development Tax Budget in the FY 25 budget; and,

WHEREAS, St. Johns County will continue to serve as the local sponsor; and,

WHEREAS, construction was completed in late summer 2023; and,

WHEREAS, the FDEP has begun a new grant cycle and St. Johns County has submitted an annual Local Government Funding Request (LGFR) to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Coastal Resilience and Coastal Protection for the State share of costs associated with post-construction monitoring for the Project; and,

WHEREAS, the LGFR application requires a Resolution from the County to affirm the Long Range Budget Plan for the Project; and,

WHEREAS, the FDEP requires an annual contract for its local share, contingent upon annual appropriations by the legislature.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The above Recitals are incorporated by reference into the body of this Resolution and such Recitals are adopted as findings of fact.

Section 2. A suggested Budget Plan for funding the State's portion of the Project shall be filed with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Coastal Resilience and Coastal Protection (the "Department").

Section 3. The County Administrator, or designee, is hereby authorized to submit a proposed Budget Plan to the Department substantially in the form attached and to execute any necessary agreements concerning the beach projects with the Department, upon a finding of legal sufficiency by the Office of the County Attorney.

Section 4. The County Administrator's, or designee's, submission of any budget plan is subject to, and contingent upon, an annual budget appropriation by the Board of County

Commissioners.

Section 5. To the extent that there are typographical and/or administrative errors and/or omissions that do not change the tone, tenor, or content of this Resolution, then this Resolution may be revised without subsequent approval of the Board of County Commissioners.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of County Commissioners of St. Johns County, State of Florida, this 17th day of September, 2024.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA

Rendition Date SFP 17 2024

By: ____

Sarah Arnold, Chair

ATTEST: Brandon J. Patty

Clerk of the Circuit Court & Comptroller

Deputy Clerk





PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Local Sponsor St. Johns	County				
Federal ID Number (FEID) 5	96000825				
Primary Contact Name Joe	Giammanco			Title	Emergency Management Director
Mailing Address Line 1	astal Management				
Mailing Address Line 2 317	71 Coastal Highway				
City St. Augustine		Zip Code 3208	34	Tele	ephone Number 904-209-0152
Email Address jgiammand	co@sjcfl.us				
Additional Contact Information	on				
PART II: CERTIFICATION					
I hereby certify that all inform	nation provided with this	application is tru	e and complete to	the b	est of my knowledge.
Signature of Local Sponsor Electronic or scanned signatures accept	Cal 1.1 C				
Printed Name	Toseph 6	A A	10		Date



PART III: EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. Project Name: (as listed in the Strategic Beach Management Plan)

Hurricane Nicole FEMA Berm

2. Project Description: Include county, location with reference to DEP range monuments, brief project history, and description of proposed activities.

2023 FEMA Category B Emergency Berms Project: Post-Hurricanes Ian and Nicole in St. Johns County, FL. The work consisted of the phased placement of 148,183 cubic yards (cy) of sand, delivered via truck from two upland sand mines to six beach segments along the the Atlantic Ocean shoreline of the County. Phillips & Jordan, Inc., constructed the project for St. Johns County, FL. The total construction cost was \$11,302,311.27. All sand placement was completed between 14 July and 12 December 2023.

South Ponte Vedra Beach: 48,092 cy placed along R78.8-84.7 (north segment) & R93.8-96.5 (south segment)

**Critically Eroded: R76 - R117.5

Butler Beach: 51,129 cy placed along R151.1-160.8 (north segment) & R166.7-168.3 (south segment)

Crescent Beach: 48,962 cy placed along R174.1-176.2 & R180.8-193.7

**Critically Eroded: R192- R196

We are requesting post-construction monitoring funds in accordance with FDEP JCP No.0402841-001-JC.



3. Use of Requested Program Funds: Provide a brief description of tasks to be completed in each requested phase (Feasibility, Design, Construction, Monitoring, or USACE Multiphase). Indicate which tasks are cost reimbursement from previous fiscal years.

)26 will support pos nit #0402841-001-J	nysical and biol	ogical monito	ring

4. Map: Provide as an attachment. The map(s) of the project area must be formatted at a minimum of 1" = 200' scale and include a compass rose and legend.

Map elements must include:

- 1. Project boundary: DEP reference monuments and shoreline designated as critically eroded
- 2. Eligibility: Indicate lengths of eligible shoreline based on criteria outlined in Chapter 62B-36.007, F.A.C.
 - a. <u>Beach access locations:</u> indicate primary or secondary access, and include the shoreline length, number and location of auto parking spaces, mass transit stops, bike parking spaces, and public restroom facilities within 1/4 mile walking distance of each access
 - b. <u>Public lodging establishments:</u> include the length fronting the project shoreline, and include the length of street-side frontage for lodging within 1/4 mile walking distance of a secondary beach access location
- 3. Recreational benefits: Comprehensive list of current land use designations of properties fronting the project shoreline
- 4. Value of upland property: Include one-quarter mile buffer and provide a comprehensive list of the values of properties (data from year 2023) that are enclosed or intersected by the buffer. The 2023 property value tax roll data and ArcGIS shapefiles can be obtained from the Department of Revenue website: https://floridarevenue.com/property/Pages/DataPortal_RequestAssessmentRollGISData.aspx. See Guidance Document for more information.
- **5. Project length:** Total restored project length (in feet) of the critically eroded area (as listed in the Strategic Beach Management Plan).

9,600ft (78.8-84.7,93.8–96.5,192-193

1



- 6. Eligibility: Required for all beach projects pursuant to Chapter 62B-36.007, F.A.C. Provide as a separate attachment, if needed.
- · Location/Access Name: Use the official name of the park or road that is associated with the public access.
- DEP Reference Monument: Use the DEP monument that is closest to the main public access location.
- Type of Access: Indicate Primary or Secondary beach access in accordance with the definitions in Chapter 62B-36, F.A.C.
- Width of Access/Frontage: The length of the legal boundary (in feet) of the public access or lodging along the beach project shoreline. For public lodging establishments that do not front the beach, indicate the street-side length of the legal property boundary (in feet) that is used as the main access to the establishment.
- Number of Eligible Units: A unit is defined as one automobile parking space, one rental unit in a public lodging establishment, one mass transit stop, or four bicycle parking spots.
- Additional Width from Eligible Units: Total distance (feet) added to the Width of Access/Frontage. If there are multiple types of eligibility units used for this calculation, show the calculation separately for each.
- Total Eligible Shoreline: Sum of the Width of Access/Frontage and Additional Width from Eligible Units columns. Eligible shorelines cannot overlap.
- Website Link for DBPR License: Provide the link to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) website for proof of licensure for any public lodging establishments used for eligibility or the Recreational Benefits ranking criteria.

Location/ Access Name	DEP Reference Monument	Type of Access	Width of Access/ Frontage (ft)	Number of Eligible Units	Additional Width from Eligible Units (ft)	Total Eligible Shoreline (ft)	Website Link for DBPR License
Guana River State Park	R83	Primary	1,650	100	5,280	4,990	
S Ponte Vedra Park	R95	Secondary	1,130	37	1,954	2,450	
Ocean Hammock Park	R151	Secondary	0	21	1,109	830	
Fort Matanzas Ramp	R194	Secondary	22.5	50	1,320	1,420	



- **7. Schedule and Budget**: Provide as a separate attachment, if needed. Clearly indicate project phase: Feasibility (F), Design (D), Construction (C), Monitoring (M), or USACE Multiphase (USACE). Include the year of post-construction monitoring in the description.
- Cost Reimbursement: Specify eligible costs incurred during the three years prior to the current application's fiscal year that are not in a current DEP agreement and have not been reimbursed (this includes fiscal years 22/23, 23/24, and 24/25). Eligible costs will be added to the current funding request.
- Current and Future Costs: Specify eligible costs for the current application's fiscal year that are not in a current DEP agreement and have not been reimbursed as well as the estimated costs for the next 4 years. Note: If Construction costs are requested in the current fiscal year, then the Year 1 Post-Construction Monitoring costs will be added to the current funding request.

Fiscal Year	Project Phase	Description	Federal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
22/23						
22/23						
22/23						
23/24						
23/24					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
23/24						1000)
24/25		All de la constant de				
24/25						
24/25					1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	
25/26	Monitoring	Biological Monitoring		\$27,057.50	\$172,942.50	\$200,000
25/26	Monitoring	Physical Monitoring		\$2,705.75	\$17,294.25	\$20,000
25/26						
26/27	Monitoring	Biological Monitoring		\$28,410.37	\$181,589.63	\$210,000
26/27						
26/27						



Fiscal Year	Project Phase	Description	Federal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
27/28	Monitoring	Biological Monitoring		\$29,830.89	\$190,669.11	\$220,500
27/28	Monitoring	Physical Monitoring		\$2,976.32	\$19,023.68	\$22,000
27/28					444	
28/29	Monitoring	Biological Monitoring		\$31,322.44	\$200,202.56	\$231,525
28/29						
28/29						
29/30	Monitoring	Biological Monitoring		\$32,888.56	\$210,212.69	\$243,101.25
29/30	Monitoring	Physical Monitoring		\$3,246.90	\$20,753.10	\$24,000.00
29/30						

8. Tourism-related impacts: Criteria is calculated by the Department using 2023 data. For reference, tax data can be obtained from the Department of Revenue website: https://floridarevenue.com/DataPortal/Pages/TaxResearch.aspx See Guidance Document for more information.

© Current funding request includes construction and year one post-construction monitoring

© Project is managed by two or more counties

Additional comments

9. Federal involvement: The documentation to verify authorization, federal cost share percentage, and status of funding award must be provided with the application materials for the award of points.

Is the project Federally authorized by the USACE?

Authorization Year:

Expiration Year:

Does this project have a signed USACE Chief's report or Civil Works Congressional authorization for the requested phase?

USACE federal cost share percentage for this project (excluding FCCE):



Select all that apply for the requested project phase((s) and include documentation with application materials.
© Executed USACE project agreement	
O Included in USACE work plan	
Approved FEMA Project Worksheet	
 Included in Congressional Appropriations Act 	
Other documentation:	
10. Storm damage reduction benefits: Criteria is calcul	ated by the Department.
What is the volume (cy) of advanced nourishment lo a beach restoration or nourishment project as meass contour? Data should be consistent with the annual	ured above the Mean High Water
For restoration projects, what is the historical erosion	n rate?
	artment. A project design analysis and supporting documentation must be of points. See Guidance Document for more information.
What is the proposed sand placement volume (cy)?	
What is the nourishment interval (years)?	
Select all that apply for the current project funding r	request:
Enhanced longevity: Propose structural or design	gn components that could extend the beach nourishment interval
O Dune addition: Incorporate new or enhanced d projects	lune structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation
O Innovative technology: Propose innovative tech	nnologies designed to reduce project costs
Regionalization: Two or more local sponsors ma management strategies to conserve sand resou	anage projects together or projects propose regional sediment urces or reduce project costs
Additional comments for storm damage reduction benefits and cost effectiveness	



12. Previous state commitment

Has the Department previously reviewed, approved	d, and cost-shared on a feasibility or design phase for this project?
Has the current proposed project phase(s) received	a partial state appropriation within 3 years of phase completion?
Additional comments	
13. Accessible beach area: Criteria is calculated by the	Department. Provide additional information for consideration.
Describe the landward and seaward edge of the accessible beach width	
Provide the project boundaries (R-monuments)	
Provide citations of reference sources	
14. Recreational benefits: Provide the percentage of linear footage within the project boundaries which is zoned recreational or open space; for commercial use; or to allow for public lodging establishment, or the equivalent. Provide additional documentation and DBPR website link in a separate attachment, if needed.	
15. Mitigation of inlet effects: Criteria is calculated by	the Department.
Additional comments	
16. Successive unfunded requests	
Is the current proposed project phase(s) a successive successive request(s) is the current application?	e, unfunded request? If so, how many years of
Does the successive request add the construction p	hase?



17. Environmental	habitat enhancement: Criteria is c	calculated by the Department. See	Guidance Document for more information
	rithin a designated critical habitat ar oreline armoring?	rea for threatened or endangered s	species that is subject
	rithin a non-designated area where endangered species?	extensive shoreline armoring threa	atens the habitat of
	of the above, does the project excees and management strategies to pro		
Provide an expl supporting doc for the strategic exceed best ma practices	cumentation es which		
18. Readiness to co	onstruct		
Does the project have	e an active state permit?	Does the project have	an active federal permit?
Permit number		Permit number	
Authorization date		Authorization date	
Expiration date		Expiration date	
	sary easements been acquired for the		
	n. The signed Resolution is due by So		a copy of the
Is an Erosion Co	ontrol Line established along the pro	oject length?	
Additional comments for readiness to construct			



Provide additional information and comments below or attach supporting documents.

Per personal communication between Rajesh Srinivas, SJC Consultant, and Guy Weeks, FDEP – the FDEP added the R192-R196 segment as a critical erosion area in the last critical erosion area report issued in Jul 2023. Given this date, this segment is not included in the latest version of the SBMP which was issued in May 2023, two months before the CE designation. Mr. Guy Weeks said that this R192-R196 segment will be included in the forthcoming version of the SBMP, expected in mid-2025, with the recommended actions including at least "maintain and monitor the constructed berms."



