

**MINUTES OF MEETING
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA
APRIL 4, 2000
(9:00 A.M.)**

Proceedings of a workshop meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of St. Johns County, Florida, began and held in the Auditorium at the County Administration Building, #4020 Lewis Speedway (County Road 16-A) and U.S. 1 North, St. Augustine, Florida.

Present were: James E. Bryant, District 5, Chair
Mary Kohnke, District 4, Vice Chair
Pal Howell, District 1
John Reardon, District 2
Mark Jacalone, District 3
Ben W. Adams, Jr., County Administrator
Judy Hamilton, Deputy Clerk

Also present: Cheryl Strickland, Clerk of Courts; and Michael Givens, Chief Deputy-Finance

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:07 a.m.)

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Chair Bryant.

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:07 a.m.)

The Invocation was given by Howell. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Jacalone.

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:08 a.m.)

ROLL CALL

The roll was called by the Deputy Clerk.

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:10 a.m.)

ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO WORKSHOP AGENDA

There were no additions or deletions to the Workshop Agenda.

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:10 a.m.)

APPROVAL OF WORKSHOP AGENDA

Motion by Reardon, seconded by Howell, carried 5/0, to approve the Workshop Agenda.

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:10 a.m.)

ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

There were no additions or deletions to the Special Meeting Agenda.

(04/04/2000 - 1 - 9:10 a.m.)

APPROVAL OF SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

Motion by Kohnke, seconded by Reardon, carried 5/0, to approve the Special Meeting Agenda.

(04/04/2000 - 2 - 9:11 a.m.)

PRESENTATION OF THE 1000 FRIENDS OF FLORIDA AWARD TO ST. JOHNS COUNTY AND ITS CITIZENS FOR THEIR VISIONING PROJECTS AND THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

Reardon stated that St. Johns County was one of four counties that had been recognized by 1000 Friends of Florida for a cutting-edge role of leadership which initiated good policy decisions. Reardon and others active in the visioning projects that have helped create visions for different part of St. Johns County had gone to Tallahassee and received an award for St. Johns County's efforts. Attendees came forward and spoke on events in Tallahassee. Tim Brown, Planning Department, displayed the award, an original watercolor by Dawn McMillan, depicting a coastal motif. (9:14 a.m.) Speakers included: George Theophilus, 8090 A1A South, Crescent Beach; Sasha Martin; Elaine Dilbeck; Esther Marshall, 329 Marsh Side Drive N., St. Augustine; Charlie Aiken; David Wiles, 8220 A1A South; Marilyn Wiles, 8220 A1A South; Jim Abbott. (9:26 a.m.) Kohnke spoke for the Ponte Vedra visioning group, who did not attend.

(04/04/2000 - 2 - 9:29 a.m.)

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Karen Selig, 6501 Nassau St., St. Augustine, addressed the Board, stating she was representing the Children's Commission, and inviting them to the St. Johns County First Coast Community of Promise Annual Children's Summit, on May 13, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. at the First Coast Technical Center, to generate and document local commitments from Northeast Florida communities. Their commitments and achievements will be presented to General Colin Powell at the National Service Conference to be held in Orlando in June.

(9:30 a.m.) A 14 minute video of St. Johns County Day in Tallahassee was viewed with commentation by the Board (audio not working). The video was replayed with sound later in the day for the viewing audience.

(04/04/2000 - 2 - 9:49 a.m.)

1. DISCUSSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL 99-70 FOR GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL SYSTEM SOFTWARE

Joe Burch, Purchasing Manager, stated the advantages of purchasing new or updated software; stated what Staff has done to evaluate software options; and, provided a recommendation for a solution to the current software problems, the main issue with current software being it is character based and it will not transfer data easily between other types of software that currently exist. Burch stated a software Evaluation Action Team (SWEAT) team, consisting of representatives from various County Departments, was put together and who put together an RFP and specifications for County software. About a year ago, this RFP was mailed out to all software firms in the Government Financial System Software Business. Six replies were received. The SWEAT team evaluated the responses and there were three top-ranked firms: J.D. Edwards, PeopleSoft, and Bi-Tech. They came back with costs ranging from \$2 million to \$3.5 million. Recognizing that this was a significant expenditure, the SWEAT team began to look at other options, potentially upgrading the existing software system.

(9:53 a.m.) Doug Timms, Senior Budget Analyst, stated the present system was at least five years old, or older. He explained that new systems talk to one another, are much more efficient, and they share the same data. The main gains in productivity and service in decision-making was driven by the computer and this certainly applied to the County situation. They are seeing more and more use of the Internet, including e-commerce, in

terms of government services. This was a very complicated area as it relates to a financial system software. The SWEAT team looked at a very comprehensive product that would include permitting, work orders, and cash management. Their final recommendation would exclude some of the products, but they wanted to look at the comprehensive product. Timms stressed the personnel, payroll, budgeting and purchasing components in the systems as being very complicated. The solution proposed would take approximately six months to implement. The purchase involved training and support help. They looked at the top three vendors and did a site visit as to whether this was an effective system at counties that have already committed to these products. The SWEAT team felt that these systems are still in development and are not perfected yet by any means. They involve a long learning time and reports are not easy to produce, which is a major drawback at this point. The support and documentation were weak. After much review, the SWEAT team had Pentamation demonstrate their upgrades onsite and it produced much of the same product as the high cost system, at a much reduced cost of \$475,000. The County can purchase software upgrades from Pentamation and receive much of the benefits of the other software systems. Timms stated Utilities was not addressed with this option; Permitting and Development Review were also not addressed, but will be later. Also, they have included a Sheriff's Office upgrade.

(10:04 a.m.) Givens reiterated that the support issue on the existing system had been addressed a year-and-a-half ago and stated that support was an issue for the Finance Department. They are comfortable with Pentamation's support level and their only concern was that a year-and-a-half ago, some of the reporting capabilities did not satisfy their ability to extract nontraditional reports from the accounting package. They believed that some of their ability to extract nontraditional reports would be enhanced by the proposed Pentamation upgrade. They were glad to see that the Sheriff's Office was entertaining the possibility of using the same application, which would simplify the technical support side.

(10:04 a.m.) Wylie Thibault, Information Systems Manager, spoke on the advantages of the Sheriff's Office financial package moving to the Pentamation platform. (10:06 a.m.) Reardon asked if this would be a benefit to all the constitutional officers and an upgrade in the right direction. Thibault responded, yes, where right now they have the Board, the Clerk's Office, and the Property Appraiser currently using Pentamation, and they have the Sheriff's Office on a separate stand-alone system, this would bring the Sheriff's Office, on the financial side, underneath one system. It would transfer data back easier because it would all be within one system and support issues would be a plus. Interoffice communication via Microsoft Office was discussed. Reardon asked if there were any hidden costs, where the County would be offered up-front low-end costs and once they were in the system have to pay for higher end upgrades. Thibault responded that they were very thorough in addressing the different modules including hardware requirements, licensing issues, conversions of data, and training, so he believed the costs were very comprehensive.

(10:09 a.m.) Kohnke questioned that several years ago none of the systems were the same and they could not talk to each other. Thibault stated that was correct, they had different hardware and different software, so they had a combination of differences and it was much harder to communicate. Kohnke asked if the hardware problem was solved. Thibault responded in this particular application the cost included running on the current Clerk of the Courts system, with a minor upgrade. Kohnke reiterated that the Clerk's hardware was different but this would make them all compatible. Thibault agreed and gave further explanation. There are 20 something different business applications that were running and they were actually on multiple platforms, different pieces of hardware. The current one that the Board and the Clerk's Office were running on was the Clerk's Office hardware and their software; the Sheriff's Office was running on a separate operation, the PRIME, and software package. This would put the Sheriff's Office on the same platform of hardware

as the Clerk of the Court for this particular application. (10:11 a.m.) Kohnke asked about the Property Appraiser. Thibault said the Property Appraiser has already been on since the beginning. Kohnke inquired about the Tax Collector. Thibault responded the Tax Collector was on his own system, which is a small, one user system. Kohnke asked about the possibility of the Tax Collector integrating with this system. Kohnke asked about the Supervisor of Elections. Strickland stated the Clerk's Office currently does financials for the Supervisor of Elections, so she was currently in use of their system. Strickland stated the Tax Collector was given the option to come in on this system and he stated he was very happy with what he had. Kohnke asked if she could access anything the Tax Collector has through their system. Strickland replied no, the Tax Collector was on his own system now; but through the PRIME she could access tax deed information, but not the financials. Kohnke asked if she could access who is paying taxes where. Strickland responded she could probably pull up by parcel number to see if taxes have been paid on a particular parcel. Kohnke asked if she could pull up a parcel and find out what the taxes are on that parcel. Strickland stated yes. Strickland stated that the Tax Collector's bookkeeping side and payroll side was separate. Kohnke restated that was separate, but that she could access any kind of information that she as a Commissioner or as a taxpayer, wanted to. Strickland responded public data is available. Kohnke asked about the loss of bells and whistles by not going with the \$2-3 million program. Thibault stated that the SWEAT team and Finance Department had determined those bells and whistles were not needed. Kohnke asked about upgrading the Planning Department, Development Services, and Building Department systems. Thibault stated they have an RFP out right now looking for a new package that encompassed contractor licensing, permitting, development services, and planning. Kohnke stated that from her point of view she wanted Planning and Development Services, as a one-stop permitting process and stated demands that she would be making on GIS specifically about overlays.

(10:19 a.m.) Burch spoke on the next phase which was requesting permission to negotiate with Pentamation and determine in more detail what they need, because the \$475,000 at this point was an estimate of cost that they would incur. The next phase of the project was to ensure that it would work the way that it was supposed to work and that they have properly identified exactly what they want, what it would cost, and, hopefully, have a very successful implementation of the new or upgraded software.

(04/04/2000 - 4 - 10:22 a.m.)

2. DISCUSSION OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT OF THE ARENTA STREET PROPERTY FOR A COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL HOME FOR CLIENTS RECEIVING MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

Dr. Kenneth Robertson, Mental Health Administrator, gave a presentation of a proposed continual housing care facility for different people in different stages of functioning. The first step towards that was to provide some rental assistance to those who were seriously mentally ill, who have over several months and years, moved to a point of relatively successful coping and adapting and coming to the point where they need an independent living situation for themselves. This would serve as a transitional living facility, a place for people to have an opportunity to demonstrate that they are prepared to go into an independent living situation, said situation to be for six months to a year. It is anticipated that the six-bed facility would allow a certain population that the Mental Health Department serves to come in, and after six months to a year they would then move into an independent real assistance program. There would be staff on premises 24 hours a day seven days a week. Robertson reviewed the selection process and requested the Board's approval to place this item on the consent agenda. He discussed funding and stated they would receive 60% of their funding from the District. Robertson indicated their startup costs, including their first year costs, would be completely absorbed by the District. Clients would also be paying as anyone else would. Robertson reviewed the lease agreement, which was put together with consultation through the County Attorney's Office. He stated

the landlord had accepted the lease agreement and indicated there were no zoning concerns. The former occupants of the facility were those afflicted with Alzheimers in a daytime program; therefore, a request for special zoning was not needed.

(10:31 a.m.) Jacalone stated there was no diagram of the property and asked what portion of the property would be occupied. Robertson stated this was a church building that had been renovated into a day treatment program for Alzheimers clients and the housing portion of the building would be about two-thirds of the building. Jacalone asked if this was something that the County had been mandated to provide by another regulatory agency, the State, the Federal Government, or was this something that the County was striving to do to provide the service. Robertson responded they were striving to provide a service. Jacalone inquired about eligible clients being people that are currently receiving treatment from the County's Mental Health Department. Robertson stated that this was correct. It is a treatment option for the clients that they are serving; if a client came in and received treatment, part of the potential treatment would be to go to a transitional living facility. Jacalone asked if they have a lot of situations where they treat people that do not have proper housing. Robertson responded yes. Jacalone inquired if clients that were treated for substance abuse, would be eligible for this housing. Robertson responded no they would not. Jacalone stated the draft lease agreement was a one year lease agreement; which is an annual lease that will be updated. Robertson concurred. Jacalone asked if the \$800 a month would be paid from Department of Children and Families. Robertson stated yes, it was paid for by funds that the clients actually pay and part of it would be paid for through other funding sources. Jacalone inquired about the staff. Robertson stated one staff person was on board and three were budgeted. Jacalone asked what the total cost of the staff necessary would be to operate the facility. Robertson stated that the total cost of the staff necessary to operate the facility was \$72,000 plus benefits.

(10:37 a.m.) Reardon stated if this project was to take place it would be taking place in his district. A number of neighborhood residents had called him concerned that they were not sent letters of intent or signs were not posted out front to let them know that this was not advertised as a public hearing. He felt that this was a serious mistake and they should have at least notified the immediate property owners or had a community meeting to discuss with them the issues, because a number of the residents expressed concern that they were trying to sneak something in. A number of residents could not be at this meeting because they received no word about it at all. The parking issue was a major concern since Arenta Street is very narrow and the address is located on a curve, where part of the curbing was already yellow-lined where cars cannot park, and asked what they anticipated being the parking situation; would they utilize Lewis Boulevard as their coming and going. Robertson responded yes. Reardon stated the residents would like to see that initiated as well and that would actually solve their parking concerns. Robertson said they have six residents there, other than the staff themselves and did not anticipate any more than half of those residents having the ability to drive. There was one car, a van, and perhaps two other vehicles. They had no intention of using that other exit. Robertson commented that they found out last week that the landlord had decided to go ahead and put this thing up for bid again and they wanted to make sure that they could try to get hold of it as soon as they could, because they thought it was a good location. Robertson apologized for not having notified the neighborhood. Reardon stated the neighbors wanted to make sure that there was going to be a strict rules and regulations policy. Robertson advised they would draft a document for citizen review. Reardon asked before this item was placed on the consent agenda, that he be provided a copy of that policy so he could review those rules and regulations before it is put on the consent agenda. Robertson asked Reardon to provide the names of the individuals who had expressed their concerns so he could contact them directly.

(10:45 a.m.) Kohnke asked what this was going to cost the County after the first year. Robertson stated the costs that would be incurred will be paid completely by the District

and there would be a small percentage that would be used for overhead. He stated they did not anticipate any changes or increases in their current budget, it was more of a shifting of how they were using their amounts for the next budget year. Kohnke asked if the same thing pertained to wages; Robertson responded yes. Kohnke questioned the need for three refrigerators and four microwaves. Robertson stated those had been included because the original proposal included the two back-end apartments, which are not available now to them. Kohnke asked what repairs and renovations were needed. Robertson stated they needed to take out one of the walls to make it into a more appropriate bedroom space with showers. Kohnke questioned the cost of tables, chairs, and kitchen equipment. Kohnke commented she thought it was always best to sit down and talk with neighbors because it always saved any last minute hassles.

(10:48 a.m.) Howell asked if part of the treatment involved giving the clients some sort of training to go out on their own and in job skills. Robertson responded that last year they started a vocational training program that actually teaches employment skills. Howell asked if they would provide a certain amount of counseling and would they work with the employers to let them know that the clients need a little motivation or help to move them along. Robertson stated yes, they have a job coach type of position, where a person goes out and meets with the employers. That person may actually assist the client for part of the time they are there, helping them get the skills they need, to go through the routine of the work job itself. Howell asked if the building at this time had any bedrooms. Robertson stated there was an office, a large patio room type of situation, and three other smaller rooms, that are the perfect size for that kind of set up. Jacalone asked how much square footage would they be occupying in this portion of the building. Robertson responded between 1200 to 1500 square feet. Jacalone inquired about utilization of a portion of the old Flagler West Building. Robertson stated his main concern was that was an institutional setting as opposed to a home-type setting. Jacalone asked them to include a diagram showing what portion of the building the County will be renting before it is placed on the consent agenda. He thought the lease agreement might need to be modified regarding a portion of the improvements. He stated they needed to notify the immediate adjacent property owners of their intent to have these kinds of uses and addressed the issue of pickup and delivery. Reardon restated the importance of having Lewis Boulevard as the pickup and drop off and that being including in all their paperwork. Robertson said he hoped some of that would be obviated by the fact that there would be a van actually located there rather than some outside agency picking them up; they would have their own access to that.

(10:55 a.m.) Pattie Hunt, 129 Marsh Island Circle, President of the National Alliance for the Mentally Ill of St. Johns County, spoke in favor of the proposed housing. (10:57 a.m.) Carlton O'Neal, 50 Arenta Street, spoke in opposition to the proposed housing. Reardon asked Robertson to speak with Mr. O'Neal about his concerns. (11:00 a.m.) Bob Clark, 21 Dondanville Road, past President of National Alliance for the Mentally Ill affiliate in St. Johns County, spoke on the need for this type of housing.

(11:07 a.m.) Bryant commented on the search for proper housing and for proper financing and directed Robertson to get with the neighborhood and provide rules and regulations, revise the lease and budget before bringing it back to be placed on the consent agenda.

The meeting recessed at 11:09 a.m. and reconvened at 11:18 a.m.

(04/04/2000 - 6 - 11:09 a.m.)

3. STAFF PRESENTATION OF THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS

FIRE SERVICE

Doug Timms, Senior Budget Analyst, introduced Ray Ashton, Director of Emergency Services, who presented an overview of the Fire Divisions Capital Improvement needs for the next budget year.

(11:47 a.m.) UTILITIES

Bill Young, Director of Utilities, reviewed Capital Improvement Program Projects for the coming budget year. Staff will bring back alternative water supply recommendations.

(12:16 p.m.) TRANSPORTATION

This item was continued to the afternoon session.

Motion by Reardon, seconded by Howell, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to move the Transportation item of the Capital Improvement Program presentation, and the Commissioner Reports to after item 4a.

The meeting recessed at 12:19 p.m. for lunch and reconvened at 1:39 p.m. with Bryant, Kohnke, Howell, Jacalone, Reardon, Adams, and Deputy Clerk Yvonne King present.

(04/04/00 - 7 - 1:39 p.m.)

4. WORKSHOP ON WETLAND MITIGATION

Ken John, Director of the Jacksonville Service Center for the St. Johns River Water Management District, explained the mitigation process. John submitted the Management and Storage of Surface Waters Handbook. The book was given to Scott Clem. John displayed a map showing the wetland impacts around the county. John explained the various kinds of wetlands: wetland restoration, wetland creation, wetland enhancement, and wetland preservation. He then explained the problems with mitigation. (1:55 p.m.) Discussion followed on wetlands that could be used for mitigation, the creation of wetlands, mitigation banks, the guidelines for mitigation, whether lands that are acquired with other agency funding could be used for mitigation, the definition of a wetland, and the types of activity that is allowed on mitigation lands. (2:35 p.m.) Louise Thrower, 288 Orange Avenue, requested clarification on several issues: wetland ratios when they are implemented outside of the normal basin; if there is a current (within 10 years) example of a 50 to 1 ratio and/or a 60 to 1 ratio in St. Johns County; how does long range monitoring work so that the wetland mitigated lands would not later be compromised; if a former borrow pit becomes titled, could the borrow pit and surrounding area be classified as wetlands, if not by what means could these borrow pits be converted to wetland status; if future maps list well known landmarks so that the public could comprehend where the basins are located. John gave clarification of the issues. (2:42 p.m.) Discussion followed regarding wetlands and conservation lands.

(04/04/00 - 7 - 2:46 p.m.)

TRANSPORTATION

Bill Tredik, Engineering Department, gave a slide show presentation on the projects that will be competing in the 2001 budget. The projects were: the 3 Laning of C.R. A1A from Publix Shopping Center up to just south of "F" Street; C.R. 5A/Kings Estate Road, traffic signal installation and construction of turn lane; C.R. 13, box culvert replacement; Cold Mix Paving, 1999-2000 Projects; College Park Drainage Basin Improvements; County-Wide Stormwater Improvements, 1999-2000 Projects; Emergency Maintenance of Traffic Plan 1; Fruit Cove Road Paved Shoulders; Guana Drainage Basin Improvement/Maintenance;

Hastings Recreation Building Parking Lot; Integrated Infrastructure Tracking Software; Kinlaw Road - Little League Drainage Basin Improvement; Landrum Lane Turn Improvements; Masters Drive Rehabilitation/Drainage Improvements; Mickler Weir North Guana Basin; Mitigation Bank; North Holmes Boulevard Extension; National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit; Oyster Creek Basin Drainage Improvements; Palm Valley Bridge Replacement; Purchase of Land adjacent to Road and Bridge Complex; Race Track Road Four-Laning; Red House Branch Delespine Road Basin Improvement; Roberts Road Improvements; Shore Drive Gate 1 Turn Bay; Solana Road Westbound Turn Lane; South Francis Drive Widening and Intersection Improvements; U.S. 1 and Grumman North Intersection Improvements; U.S. & Grumman North Traffic Signal; West St. Augustine Storm Water Improvements; and 16th Street Sidewalk/Bike Path.

(3:16 p.m.) Discussion followed on the funding of the Guana Drainage Basin Improvement/Maintenance. There was also discussion on Landrum Lane turn improvements, Mickler Weir-North Guana Basin, the total cost for all projects, funding source for the projects, Masters Drive Rehabilitation/Drainage Improvements, North Holmes Boulevard Extension, CR A1A (A1A Beach Blvd.) 3 laning, and Cold Mix paving projects. (3:45 p.m.) Doug Timms reviewed the funding of the projects; \$18.7 million has been identified for year 2001; \$120 million total; approximately \$3 million is anticipated in impact fees for transportation; there is approximately \$5 million in the Transportation Trust Fund; \$35 million is anticipated from the sales tax over a period of five years.

(04/04/00 - 8 - 3:49 p.m.)
COMMISSIONER REPORTS:

Commissioner Reardon:

Reardon gave a report on the Census.

Reardon announced that Friday Night there will be a Senior Prom for Senior Citizens at St. Augustine High School.

(3:55 p.m.)
Commissioner Jacalone:

Jacalone requested the issue of driving on North Beach be placed on a future agenda. (3:56 p.m.) ***It was the consensus of the Board for Staff to prepare this for a workshop agenda.***

Jacalone announced the District 3 Town Meeting to be held on Thursday, April 6, 2000 at Gamble Rogers Middle School.

Jacalone said the County Attorney's Office is preparing an ordinance to require marinas to provide pump-out facilities.

(3:58 p.m.)
Commissioner Bryant:

No report.

(3:58 p.m.)
Commissioner Kohnke:

Kohnke said she received letters from the Friends of the Library pertaining to the closing of the library in the northeast area of the county. They are requesting the County pay for a temporary site for them.

Kohnke said the Vilano Run will be held Saturday, May 13, 2000 at 8:00 a.m.; preregistration will be on May 10, 2000.

Kohnke gave an update on the issue regarding eagles.

Kohnke said that before the wetland issue is again addressed before the Board, that a field trip be scheduled to visit wetland sites.

(4:06 p.m.)

Commissioner Howell:

Howell said that on March 20, 2000, the Florida Communities Trust approved the grant for the Bennett property. On March 30, 2000, Florida Public Trust closed with Mr. Bennett. Howell said that by the 3rd week of April, the County will own the property.

(04/04/00 - 9 - 4:08 p.m)

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Adams said the County received the keys to the Flagler West facility.

Adams announced that on April 15, 2000 at 9:00 a.m. the first public meeting on the Northwest Sector Plan will be held at Switzerland Point Middle School.

(04/04/00 - 9 - 4:11 p.m.)

CLERK OF THE COURT'S REPORT

Cheryl Strickland, Clerk of Courts, addressed Article V; said it passed during the last election. The State will be taking on the cost of courts at the local level. A task force has been established to review the costs.

Strickland distributed the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. Givens gave a general review of the report. (4:14 p.m.) Givens said the accounting industry is facing some significant changes as to how governments report their finances. Reardon asked if the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report would be placed on the Internet. Givens responded affirmatively.

(4:20 p.m.) **Motion by Reardon, seconded by Jacalone, carried 5/0, to adjourn the workshop.** There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

Approved _____ May 9 _____, 2000

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA

By: _____
James E. Bryant, Chair

ATTEST: CHERYL STRICKLAND, CLERK

By: _____
Deputy Clerk