

NOTE:

Minutes of the June 6, 2005 St. Johns County Board of County Commissioners' Meeting.

The minutes contain links that enable you to listen to the audio for each item.

To listen to the audio of an item in the minutes, click on the blue highlighted date, page number and time that precedes each item.

**MINUTES OF MEETING
ST. JOHNS COUNTY SPECIAL MEETING
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA
JUNE 6, 2005
9:00 A.M.**

Proceedings of a special meeting of the Board of County Commissioners, held in the auditorium at the County Administration Building, 4020 Lewis Speedway (County Road 16-A) and U.S. 1 North, St. Augustine, Florida.

Proof of publication of the notice of a special meeting, scheduled for June 6, 2005, was received, having been published in *The St. Augustine Record* on May 23, 2005.

Present were: Bruce A. Maguire, Chairman
 Ben Rich, District 3
 Karen R. Stern, District 2
 Cyndi Stevenson, District 1
 Ben W. Adams Jr., County Administrator
 Dan Bosanko, County Attorney
 Patrick McCormick, Assistant County Attorney
 Laura Barrow, Assistant County Attorney
 Terry Bulla, Deputy Clerk

Also Present: Janet Beason, Court Reporter

Absent: James Bryant, Vice Chair

(06/06/05 - 1 - 9:05 a.m.)

Maguire called the meeting to order.

(06/06/05 - 1 - 9:06 a.m.)

ROLL CALL

All commissioners were present, with the exception of Commissioner Bryant.

(9:06 a.m.) Stern asked for a moment of silence to remember the families of, and children who, had been abducted: Stevenson led the Pledge of Allegiance.

(06/06/05 - 1 - 9:08 a.m.)

ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO AGENDA

(9:09 a.m.) Adams stated that he would be giving an update on the Waste Management disposal service contract under Other Business.

(9:10 a.m.) **Motion by Stern, seconded by Rich, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to approve the special meeting agenda.**

(06/06/05 - 1 - 9:10 a.m.)

1. SUMMER HAVEN - THE PURPOSE OF THIS SPECIAL MEETING IS FOR PUBLIC DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON ISSUES RELATING TO SUMMER HAVEN, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ACCESS, PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Scott Clem, Assistant County Administrator, gave a history of the island and the subsequent development of the road, which later became Highway A1A. He reviewed the history of storm damage to the road, the permitting history of lots, and the construction of new homes along the road. He noted that in 1985 the County acquired Blocks 4 thru 7, and there was currently no road in that vicinity. He stated that in 1985 the BCC issued a moratorium on new building permits on the unpaved portion north of Block 36, which existed until 2001, when permitting was allowed to continue. On May 4, 2005, the Board acted to reinstitute that moratorium to prohibit the construction of new homes or expansion of existing homes, but not to repairs of existing homes. He said it was the advice of the attorney's office to formalize the moratorium through an ordinance.

(9:25 a.m.) Laura Barrow, Assistant County Attorney, stated there was pending litigation on the island. She narrated a video showing the island and the current erosion situation along Old A1A. She indicated the location where a recent emergency rescue had taken place on April 27th, and noted that rescue vehicles had extreme difficulty getting to that house. She pointed out that Block 48 was as far north, from the south end, that a rescue vehicle could travel. She gave a review of the rescue attempt at Block 41 on May 21st, and the difficulties involved in reaching the injured parties. She explained that rescue vehicles were very heavy and could not turn around on the narrow strip of road. (9:46 a.m.) Dave Williams, Aquatic Superintendent, clarified where the high tide mark was located. Barrow noted that most of the homes along the roadway were two or three stories high, and that in the event of a fire it would be necessary to use a ladder truck to fight a fire. (9:50 a.m.) Dr. Susan Parker, Florida Division of Historical Resources, presented the earliest known map of the area, circa 1605, as well as a map from around 1740 showing the inlet, and pointed out the area where the inlet would be located on a current aerial picture. She noted that in 1850 it appeared on engineering maps that the inlet had filled in due to natural causes. (9:58 a.m.) Barrow resumed her presentation and showed how precariously close some houses were to falling into the ocean.

(10:04 a.m.) Stern asked about the pending litigation. Barrow said the litigation was from 2002 and involved Blocks 3 thru 23, involving a Statutory Way of Necessity, where an individual property owner was blocking access to his property so that no one could cross his property, and thus cutting off access to other properties. The lawsuit involved all property owners to the north of his property including the County, which owned Blocks 4 thru 7. An injunction had been issued to prevent anyone from blocking his or her property. (10:08 a.m.) Stevenson asked for clarification regarding the ability of County emergency vehicles to provide services to the northern end of the roadway. Barrow responded that from the south end, from Block 65 north to Block 48, there was a very narrow strip of pavement, and some type of rescue vehicle could get from the south to the north to that point, but would have to back out. However, she noted, it was subject to change depending on the weather conditions. She added that from the north end there was no way that rescue vehicles could get south of Block 3.

(10:10 a.m.) Bobby Hall, Fire Rescue Chief, spoke and told of the history of the difficulties of accessing the area as far back as 20 years ago. He said 64,000 pounds was the base for a roadway to be able to support a fire truck, and there simply was not adequate roadway to support a fire truck. He said they could not supply fire support, but would provide service for medical emergencies and rescue of life and limb, but it would take some time because it was so difficult to access. (10:15 a.m.) Stern asked for clarification on the exact location accessible by emergency vehicles. Barrow responded that they could not go beyond Block 2 from the north and beyond Block 48 from the south. But, she cautioned, the vehicle would have to back out because there were no

turn around points. Hall emphasized that the scenario applied only to the ambulances and not the fire trucks.

(10:18 a.m.) McCormick said when a property becomes unsafe and possibly uninhabitable, it might be necessary for the County to take the position that the houses are not inhabitable. (10:20 a.m.) Rich said the situation was critical and he questioned the legal staff as to what would be involved in making it known to the inhabitants that the space was uninhabitable and services would no longer be provided to them, and that they reside there at their own risk. (10:23 a.m.) McCormick responded that the presentation today would involve some of the possible options. (10:24 a.m.) Stern said it was a critical matter and the safety and welfare of the residents was of grave concern.

The meeting recessed at 10:25 a.m. and reconvened at 10:34 a.m.

Clem clarified that the current extent of the road ended at Block 48, and that last year at this time it extended to approximately Block 36. He stated they had lost eight blocks of roadway in the past year. He said they had a permit and FEMA reimbursement to go back to Block 36. He said they needed guidance from the Board as to whether the pavement stopped at Block 48, as it currently existed, or Block 36 where it was approved from last year. (10:36 a.m.) Rich clarified that the previous approval by the Board, which included FEMA and State monies, was to Block 40. Clem said they actually needed to choose between the three options: Block 36, Block 48 or Block 40. (10:37 a.m.) Barrow asked to clarify that she had made a mistake at the May 4th Board meeting, as she was under the impression that the pavement extended up to Block 40, because it used to. She said she had since learned that it actually stopped further south at Block 48, and that was as far north as any rescue vehicles could possibly get. (10:39 a.m.) Rich inquired if the previous motion would have to be adjusted based on the new information. Bosanko responded that they should wait on that decision until they had heard Dr. Meyer's presentation.

(10:40 a.m.) Dr. Bruce Meyer, 866 Summer Bay Dr., St. Augustine, PBS&J Engineer, said he was currently working on the project. He gave an overview of current work activities, review of damages from Hurricane Jeanne, what the permit from DEP covered and what had been funded by FEMA. He reviewed the possible engineering and long-term approaches, the permits required, the agencies involved and their requirements. He listed potential funding sources, reviewed the options, their costs and permitting issues involved with each one. He explained that the roadwork would be done to County residential roadway standards, which were designed for emergency vehicle use. (10:58 a.m.) Rich attempted to clarify by stating that all engineering options were out with the exception of the use of sand. Meyer said that was correct, but there was no guarantee that those permits would be issued. McCormack clarified that an application had to be made before the permit could be denied. Meyer said they did not have a written denial from any of the permitting agencies. (11:00 a.m.) Stern asked about the roadway and whether permitting was required. She clarified that emergency vehicles still would not be able to turn around. Meyer said the roadway would be 16 feet wide and would require a permit, but the DEP permit would be for repair of the existing roadway and not to construct a new road.

(11:03 a.m.) Clem showed a slide indicating the mean high water line, and noted that it often varied, and would at times cross westward of the right of way. (11:06 a.m.) Jan Brewer, Environmental Manager, stated that a number of variables created the daily high tide, and the mean high tide was the average of the variables.

(11:08 a.m.) Barrow stated clarification was needed on how far paving was to go. Meyer said the paved roadway would be from Block 36 to Block 55, which was what FEMA had funded and DEP had permitted. Maguire asked what the standard was for lane widths. Joe Stephenson responded they had to build on what was available. (11:09 a.m.) Chief Hall said the standard width was 20 feet and 32 tons. Meyer said the standard would be the County standard, but that it did not sound like it would meet the width requirement. (11:12 a.m.) Stephenson asked Barrow if paving thru Block 36 would cover most of the existing residences. Clem stated there were actually three groups of residents: those along the paved road section, which before Hurricane Jeanne extended to Block 36, and 20 of the 27 structures were on that stretch of road. He said a sand road existed from Block 35 to Block 23 and there were three structures on that stretch of road. He said four structures existed on the Way of Necessity. He explained that each had different circumstances and the Board needed to decide whether to reconstruct the paved section at Block 48 as it currently exist, or back to Block 36.

(11:15 a.m.) Bosanko said reviewed the options outlined in a memo from his predecessor, James Sisco. He explained that the memorandum, date March 20, 1997, addressed several questions from the Board about vacating or otherwise divesting the County's interest in the southern portion of Old A1A. He said they investigated the possibility of turning the property over to a homeowner's association, but that would require the homeowners to create an association and take on the responsibility. He said an even better option would be that of creating a Community Development District, which the homeowners would control, and that the CDD could issue bonds. He said the BCC could create a MSTU or a MSBU, to create a special district, and he recommended that the Board allow him and the County Administrator to start the process. He said it would allow the County to assess the special needs in the district and to raise funds for resolutions and services in that area. He emphasized that it would leave the BCC in control of what happened, but would give them a special district to assess for necessary funds. He said Mr. Sisco had also recommended vacation of the road, but indicated that the County might be liable for access to the lots. He cautioned it was not a very favorable option. He said the mean high water line had actually crossed the road in several places, and it was possible that the County no longer owned the right of way where the mean high water line had crossed the previous line. He said the State owned everything east of the mean high water line, and quite possibly owned much of what had previously been owned by the County. He explained that if that were the case, some of the responsibility could be shifted to the State. He said he was not suggesting vacation as a current option. He noted that Mr. Sisco had addressed the concern of maintenance on the road and that the County did have a responsibility to maintain the road, even though it was minimal in width in some places and non-existent in others. He said the level at which the road was maintained was at the Board's discretion. He suggested that the Board should consider homeowners participation in the cost of the project, and there were several options available legally to do so; formalizing a building moratorium for expansion of new houses, with the understanding that the period could be shortened or lengthened until a formal solution was developed; establishing a special funding district in that area; and retaining the firm of Neighbors and Giblin to help them with the issues. Regarding the engineering solutions, Bosanko said they needed to find out from the homeowners what option they wished to pursue, and if they would participate in the funding.

(11:32 a.m.) Rich asked Joe Stephenson if there would be a cost overrun on the permitted area based on the funds that had already been committed. Stephenson said there was a contract, and a cost overrun would have to be justified, but it was not uncommon. Rich said he wanted to ensure they would be able to complete the project without a cost overrun. Stephenson said that would be unlikely. He said repairs would be east of the County's right-of-way and west of the mean high water line. He explained

that from the extreme south the only thing proposed was to restore the dune so that the existing road would be protected, and that the proposed paved road and berm would run from Blocks 55 to 36, where a 16 foot wide paved road would be reconstructed to County standard structural conditions, all west of the mean high water mark. He said from Blocks 36 to 26 there would be a minimal sand road accessible only by 4-wheel drive, but not an emergency vehicle, and the County had not maintained a road in that area for decades. He asked the Board which option they preferred, whether they wanted them to take the paved road to Block 36; what they would have him do about the sand road; and whether to build the berm from Block 26 to the north end, even though there was no road behind it. He stated that on May 4th, his plan was to build what FEMA had funded and what DEP had permitted. He said that since then, an exploratory effort had transpired, and he stated he needed guidance on which direction the Board wanted him to go.

(11:40 a.m.) Maguire reviewed the issues: to formalize a moratorium; to look at creating a funding district; and to look at the current project and work by Stephenson. (11:42 a.m.) Barrow read the motions from the May 4th meeting. She noted it was very important to note that there was a high level of turtle nesting in the area, and that was why they had decided not to go beyond Block 48. Barrow said the pavement ended at Block 48. Maguire said they did not want to go north of that lot, specifically, because of the turtles. He said they should direct Staff to go ahead and prepare a formalization document to declare a moratorium.

Public Comment:

(11:47 a.m.) Robert Winchester, 2 Walnut Court, Ormond Beach, questioned what constituted a permanent structure for replenishment of the sand, and requested a permanent solution that might be acceptable. He asked about the proposed CDD, MSTU and MSBU, and what it would mean to them. He said his taxes had gone up 13 to 15 times in the last four years, due to recent construction in the area, and that the services were going down. He said his family had owned the property for over 50 years, and now it was so expensive that he was barely able to hold on to it.

(11:51 a.m.) David Bowen, Jr., 9349 Old A1A, St. Augustine, said he lived in the area and he was a heart patient. He said the County must have a way to get help to him. He said if they did not build the berm all the way down they would lose their prime turtle nesting area, and that Staff had misinformed them about the turtles.

(11:54 a.m.) Jim Dennis, 9289 Old A1A, said he disagreed with some of the opinions that had been given. He said the road had been on the dune and was too close to the water. He suggested the homeowners could be asked to give up some of their land to build a new road further back in the dunes and away from the ocean, to provide a permanent solution to the problem.

(11:58 a.m.) John Hankinson, 9150 Mellon Court, St. Augustine, stated that a lot of the sand ended up in the Summer Haven River, and it was filling up the river used by boaters, dolphins and manatees.

(12:00 p.m.) Patrick Hamilton, 201 Owens Ave., St. Augustine, said that he hoped the County would take into consideration that the sea level was rising and was creating a changing game plan. He said that as a realtor, the County could buy vacant land much cheaper than the cost of the project, which could provide necessary roadways and access to the beach.

(12:02 p.m.) Steve Whalen, 4510 Higel Ave., Sarasota, and 9201 & 9205 Old A1A, St. Augustine, Blocks 8 & 9, gave out a video on, and talked about, undercurrent stabilizers and how they affected the beaches. He said they were installed perpendicular to the beach by Holmberg Technologies. He said it was a one-time application, like speed bumps in the ocean, which allowed the water to drop sand and begin the natural rebuilding of the beach. He encouraged the Board to look at that technology.

(12:07 p.m.) Mac McLeod, 8950 Old A1A, Summer Haven, said he lost eight vertical feet after Hurricane Jeanne, and that many turtle nests were lost during that time. He said there was no more public access to the beach at Summer Haven, and people were literally walking through their yards, which had become a bathroom for the public. He asked them to consider asking John Hankinson to form an ad hoc committee to come up with a substantial plan in concert with the County.

(12:11 p.m.) Jeanie Fitzpatrick, 102 Anastasia Lodge Dr., St. Augustine and 9171 Old A1A, suggested having a special rescue unit for emergencies for Summer Haven. She said the Scenic and Historic A1A project was a potential resource for funding, because the whole strip fell within the corridor that had been designated by State and Federal agencies as a scenic and historic highway. She also said that most people didn't care if there was a paved road as long as there was a road that made their property accessible. She said rescue vehicles would have to make a turn around, but could make the entire loop back up to State Road A1A. She asked that the property owners at the northern end not be lumped in with the properties at the southern end, because currently their properties were not at risk.

(12:16 p.m.) Mike Sawdai, 9413 Old A1A, lot 53, said misstatements had been made, and that parts of the property had been undisturbed by the ocean for hundreds of years. He noted a berm was going to be built and a road currently existed in front of his lot. He declared that he had a substantial investment in his property, and if he couldn't pull a building permit, he would be unable to sell it or build on it. He said perhaps they needed to look at the various parts of the beachfront differently, with a long-term and permanent solution in mind. Rich and Sawdai discussed the risks on building on the ocean and who should be responsible should disaster strike.

(12:22 p.m.) In response to a question by Stevenson, Bosanko stated since 2001 that a warning had been issued for building in that area when a building permit was pulled.

(12:31 p.m.) Davron Cardenas, 6730 Strawberry Lane, Jacksonville, and 9173 Old A1A, expressed concern about the washovers and the long-term integrity it would have on State Road A1A. She said eventually it would become a serious threat to turtle beaches, Summer Haven, State Road A1A and the Inland Waterway.

(12:36 p.m.) Val Gaccione, 5695 S. A1A, South Melbourne Beach, said she owned two lots on Block 60 on the northern end. She explained that she had a health problem, which required her to have good clean air and to live at the ocean. She stated she had put all her savings into the property she had bought at Summer Haven and if she was not allowed to build, her quality of life would truly be affected. She questioned how long a moratorium would last, and whether she might be allowed to build a bridge across the Summer Haven River, or whether the County might consider building a bridge across for all residents and rescue vehicles. Maguire stated that a bridge permit would be a DEP and Corps of Engineers issue.

(12:40 p.m.) Tom Ingram, 245 Riverside Ave., Papas, Metcalf, Jenks & Miller, Jacksonville, stated that he represented the Fitzgerald family, to help them with the sale of their home and an adjacent lot. He said they were located in the sand road area

between Blocks 36 and 26, and that was the area where FEMA funding had been designated to help fix the road. Maguire clarified that in that section there was only a sand berm proposed. Ingram asked what the urgent need was for the moratorium, as there was nothing unique about the situation there that made it necessary. He added that if they had secured FEMA funding, he hoped they would not turn it down. (12:44 p.m.) Stevenson stated that it was not an option for the County to allow a dwelling unit to be occupied at the owner's own risk. She said they were required to provide emergency services and then the risk became that of the County.

(12:46 p.m.) David Anderson, 3315 Riverside Ave., Jacksonville, property owner in Summer Haven, asked for clarification about who specifically would be affected by a moratorium. He said his property was on the west side of new A1A and he wanted to ensure that the moratorium was not imposed on his property. Maguire said it would not affect him. Anderson also asked the Commission to consider the water supply to that area and to possibly move the water line to the other side on new A1A.

(12:48 p.m.) Tom Warner, 215 S. Monroe, Tallahassee, stated he was with the law firm of Carlton Fields, and represented approximately 30 property owners in the Summer Haven area. He reported that he had worked with County Staff over the past several weeks to secure information about the problem to try to look for solutions. He stated that the County had 100 feet of right-of-way and had plenty of room to do what they needed to do, except for the portions at the very northern end. He said the rock revetment at the north end had clearly exacerbated the problem. He added that there was some question as to whether the beach was currently a turtle habitat or not, due to recent relocation of some turtle nests, which could affect permitting abilities. He said they needed to draw the line, and determine whether they were going to do something on a permanent basis or not. He also questioned why the least expensive option, beach re-nourishment, had become the most expensive option. He stated the residents were willing to share in the cost, but they were not willing to take on the responsibility. He said if the County failed to take responsibility, they were going to have to buy a lot of that property, and it would be a far more expensive option.

The meeting recessed at 12:57 p.m. and reconvened at 1:43 p.m., with Robin Platt, Deputy Clerk, present.

(1:44 p.m.) Forest Penny, 4791 Ocean Shore Blvd., Stewardship Coordinator for the Guana Tolomato Matanzas National Estuarine Research Reserve, stated that the area at Summer Haven was a high quality sea turtle nesting habitat and that it had the highest nesting density for the last two years for loggerhead sea turtles. He offered the services of the Reserve to the Board.

(1:47 p.m.) Maguire commented that he would like to see the County Attorney begin the process of imposing a building permit moratorium: *A consensus was given to begin the process.* Stevenson also requested that a long-term solution be looked for as well, including funding.

(1:51 p.m.) Stephenson addressed the original FEMA project, which was for Block 53 to Block 3, explaining that the purpose of the FEMA monies was to restore conditions to the pre-storm event, a.k.a. hurricane Jeanne: They would restore the hard surface road and build a berm from Blocks 55 to 36; from Block 55 to the road the berm would be replaced; stopping at Block 40, per the Board's previous direction on May 4th. If the Board wanted any work done passed Block 40, he would need a motion to do so. Maguire supported the FDEP and FEMA repair work, as presented.

(1:55 p.m.) Stern clarified that the \$1 million in FEMA and matching funds was for berm repair for Blocks 3 to 23; unpaved roadway and berm repair for Blocks 23 to 36; berm and paved roadway repair from Blocks 36 to 55; and berm repair from Blocks 55 to 63. Discussion ensued on where to stop the berm.

(1:58 p.m.) **Motion by Rich, seconded by Maguire, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to direct to County Administrator to direct Mr. Stephenson to carry out the FEMA funded FDEP permitted work as specified on page 5 of the handout, which includes all of the items which were just discussed.**

(2:00 p.m.) Stern requested that Staff look at long-term solutions, possibly forming a CDD, MSBU or MSTU and a citizen's advisory board. Adams said they would schedule it for discussion on the June 28th agenda. Rich requested that the abandonment of the highway that was in control of the State be explored.

Barrow left the meeting and Michael Hunt, Deputy County Attorney, entered the meeting.

(06/06/05 - 8 - 2:04 p.m.)

2. CONSIDERATION OF A REQUEST FOR PAYMENT OF FEES AND COSTS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,045.15 REGARDING MR. VICTOR MARTINELLI'S LEGAL COSTS

Dan Bosanko, County Attorney, explained that, as a result of his service on the Water and Sewer Authority, Victor Martinelli had a legal action brought against him. He has since applied for reimbursement of his legal fees to defend himself in that matter. Bosanko stated that Martinelli had met all of the requirements to have those fees reimbursed, with the exception of one: he would have to prove a financial hardship. Bosanko explained the Board's options and recommended continuing this item until a hardship statement could be obtained from Martinelli. Discussion ensued on possibly settling the case and reimbursing Mr. Martinelli.

(2:16 p.m.) **Motion by Stevenson, seconded by Rich, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to continue this and place it on the next consent [agenda].**

(06/06/05 - 8 - 2:17 p.m.)

3. CONSIDER SETTING A DATE FOR THE 125.3401 HEARING FOR THE POSSIBLE ACQUISITION OF THE ST. JOHNS SERVICE COMPANY UTILITY

McCormack left the meeting. Adams distributed a memo, Exhibit A, regarding the possible hearing dates. (2:18 p.m.) Stern suggested that the hearings be held from 4:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on August 17th and 18th for the convenience of the ratepayers; discussion ensued.

(2:24 p.m.) Walter Rohrer, 2315 Clubview Court, Ponte Vedra Beach, supported the proposed dates. (2:24 p.m.) Clara Cowan, 244 Patrick Mill Circle, supported the proposed dates.

(2:24 p.m.) **Motion by Stern, seconded by Stevenson, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to approve August 17 and 18, 2005, from the hours of 4:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., if necessary, at Our Lady Star of the Sea Catholic Church, 545 A1A North, Ponte Vedra Beach, Florida, 32082, as the date, time and location for the 125.3401 hearing for the possible acquisition of the St. Johns Service Company.**

(06/06/05 – 9 – 2:25 p.m.)

4. CONSIDER THE REORGANIZATION OF THE CONCESSION OPERATIONS AT THE COUNTY'S GOLF COURSE

Troy Blevins, Parks Operations Manager, introduced Wes Tucker, Golf Course Superintendent, who explained that they had initiated an RFP for the operation of a concession at the golf course. Staff would operate the concession until the RFP could be completed.

(06/06/05 – 9 – 2:29 p.m.)

5. CONSIDER SETTING A HEARING DATE TO DISCUSS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED BY REVENUE SHARING BONDS

Mary Ann Blount, Land Management Director, asked the Board to set a date to discuss and finalize the CIP project list for bond funding revenue. She suggested that the discussion would take three or four hours. *A consensus was given to set the hearing for Monday, June 27, 2005, at 9:00 a.m.*

(06/06/05 – 9 – 2:32 p.m.)

6. OTHER BUSINESS

Adams reported that he had listened to a recording of a pre bid conference regarding a contract that had been awarded at the last board meeting and found that no promises were made.

(2:34 p.m.) Stern requested that in the future if there was information pertaining to a special meeting that the Commissioners be notified that the materials were ready to be picked up. She also questioned when the workshop on growth management legislation would be held. Bosanko and Adams stated that they were working on it.

(2:37 p.m.) Maguire reported that the County had qualified for a small county transportation project, and asked the Commissioners to submit any project suggestions to Scott Clem. He also reported that he had attended the Sheriff's annual awards banquet the previous weekend.

(2:38 p.m.) Rich addressed a letter from the Department of Environmental Protection that he distributed, Exhibit A, and confirmed that Adams was working on it.

(2:40 p.m.) **Motion by Stern, seconded by Rich, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to adjourn.**

With there being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

Approved _____, 2005

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA

By: _____
Bruce A. Maguire, Chairman

(06/06/05 - 9 - 2:25 p.m.)

4. CONSIDER THE REORGANIZATION OF THE CONCESSION OPERATIONS AT THE COUNTY'S GOLF COURSE

Troy Blevins, Parks Operations Manager, introduced Wes Tucker, Golf Course Superintendent, who explained that they had initiated an RFP for the operation of a concession at the golf course. Staff would operate the concession until the RFP could be completed.

(06/06/05 - 9 - 2:29 p.m.)

5. CONSIDER SETTING A HEARING DATE TO DISCUSS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED BY REVENUE SHARING BONDS

Mary Ann Blount, Land Management Director, asked the Board to set a date to discuss and finalize the CIP project list for bond funding revenue. She suggested that the discussion would take three or four hours. *A consensus was given to set the hearing for Monday, June 27, 2005, at 9:00 a.m.*

(06/06/05 - 9 - 2:32 p.m.)

6. OTHER BUSINESS

Adams reported that he had listened to a recording of a pre bid conference regarding a contract that had been awarded at the last board meeting and found that no promises were made.

(2:34 p.m.) Stern requested that in the future if there was information pertaining to a special meeting that the Commissioners be notified that the materials were ready to be picked up. She also questioned when the workshop on growth management legislation would be held. Bosanko and Adams stated that they were working on it.

(2:37 p.m.) Maguire reported that the County had qualified for a small county transportation project, and asked the Commissioners to submit any project suggestions to Scott Clem. He also reported that he had attended the Sheriff's annual awards banquet the previous weekend.

(2:38 p.m.) Rich addressed a letter from the Department of Environmental Protection that he distributed, Exhibit A, and confirmed that Adams was working on it.

(2:40 p.m.) Motion by Stern, seconded by Rich, carried 4/0 with Bryant absent, to adjourn.

With there being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

Approved June 28, 2005

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA

By: Bruce A. Maguire
Bruce A. Maguire, Chairman

ATTEST: CHERYL STRICKLAND, CLERK

By: Wonne King
Deputy Clerk